

Learning Representations of Large-Scale Networks

Jian Tang¹, Cheng Li², Qiaozhu Mei² ¹HEC Montréal & Montréal Institute of Learning Algorithms (MILA) ²School of Information, University of Michigan

Networks

• Ubiquitous in real world



- A flexible and general data structure
 - Many types of data can be formulated as networks

Network Minina: Link Prediction



Network Mining: Ranking

HOGG DW, 2001, UPSTRON 2001; ASTR SOC P ...

EISENSTEIN DJ. 2001. ASTRON J ... SCHLEGEL DJ, 1998, ASTROPHYS J 1 ... ABAZĀJIĀN K. 12STR AUSSCMA,...2002, ASTRON J ...

VANDENBERK DE, 2001, ASTRON J

STOUGHTON C, 2002, ASTRON J ...

FUKUGITA M, 1996, ASTRON J ...

BLANTON MR: 2003/ASTRON J ...

ABAZAJIAN K, 2003, ASTRON J ...

STRATEVAL 2001, ASTRONIJON MR. 2003, ASTRONIJ ...

SCHNEIDER DP, 2005, ASTRON J ...

GUNN JE. 2006, ASTRON J.

RICHARDS GT. 2002, ASTRON J

BEANTON MR. 2002. ASTROPHYS J 1... SPERGEL DN. 2003. ASTROPHYS J SUPPL S ... ABAZAJIAN K. 2005. ASTRON J ...

ADELMANMICCARTHY JK. 2006, ASTROPHYS J SUPPL'S ...

GUNN JE, 1998, ASTRON J ...

 Importance of vertices

• Which is the most influential paper?

YORK DG, 2000, ASTRON J ...

Co-citation network of Sloan Digital Sky Survey

- http://nevac.ischool.drexel.edu/~james/infovis09/FP-tree-visual.html

Network Mining: Community Detection



Who tend to work together?

- Q.Mei, D.Cai, D.Zhang, and C.Zhai, Topic Modeling with Hitting Time, WWW 2008

Network Mining: Classification



- d1 is democratic
- d2 is republican
- What can we say about d3 and d4?

- Graph from Jerry Zhu's tutorial in ICML 07

Network Mining: Resilience

How robust are networks to random/targeted attacks?



Network Mining: Information Cascades



60 seconds after

Two minutes before the official denial

Three hours after

Cascade of the "white house bombing rumor" - Zhao et al., WWW 2015

Network Mining: Many Other Tasks

- · Sampling
- Recommendation
- · Structure analysis (e.g., structural holes)
- Evolution
- · Matching
- Visualization

Traditional Representations of Networks



(0	0	1					2	1	1	
	1	0	1				-	0	0	1	
	3	2	0				-	0	0		
	1				0	1	0	0	0	1	
	0				-		-		-	1	
	0				-		-		1		
	0		0				0		-		
ł	0			1	-		-	0	-		
	0	0			-		-		0		
l	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	

- Suffer from data sparsity
- Suffer from high dimensionality
- Does not facilitate computation
- · Does not represent "semantics"

• •••

Research Question and Challenges

- How to effectively and efficiently represent networks?
- · Challenges:
 - Large-scale: millions of nodes and billions of edges
 - Heterogeneous: directed/undirected, and binary/weighted

Learning Node Representations for Networks



- Node Classification
- Node Clustering
- Link Prediction
- Recommendation

Network

Node representations

E.g., Facebook social network -> user representations (features)-> • friend recommendation



Word co-occurrence network

Extremely Low-dimensional Representations: 2D/3D for Visualizing Networks







Networks



2D/3D Layout







High-dimensional Data



Visualizing Scientific Papers



From Node Representation to Graph Representation

- Node representations are good for
 - \cdot Node classification
 - · Recommendation
 - · Link prediction
 - •••

٠

- How about …
 - Information cascades
 - · Community detection
 - Protein function prediction
- We want to learn graph representations

Outline

- · Part I: Learning Node Representations of Networks
 - Related Work: Laplacian Eigenmap, Word2Vec
 - LINE, DeepWalk, and Node2Vec
 - Extensions
- Part II: Visualizing Networks and High-Dimensional Data
 - t-SNE

٠

•

- · LargeVis
- Pat III: Learning Representations of Entire Networks
 - · Graph kernels
 - · End-to-end methods
- Part IV: Summary, Challenges & Future Work

Outline

- Part I: Learning Node Representations of Networks
 - Related Work: Laplacian Eigenmap, Word2Vec
 - LINE, DeepWalk, and Node2Vec
 - Extensions
 - Part II: Visualizing Networks and High-Dimensional Data
 - t-SNE

٠

•

- · LargeVis
- Pat III: Learning Representations of Entire Networks
 - Graph kernels
 - · End-to-end methods
- Part IV: Summary, Challenges & Future Work

Problem Definition: Node Embedding

• Given a network/graph G=(V, E, W), where V is the set of nodes, E is the set of edges between the nodes, and W is the set of weights of the edges, the goal of *node embedding* is to represent each node *i* with *a vector* $\vec{u}_i \in R^d$, which preserves the structure of networks.



Related Work

- Classical graph embedding algorithms
 - · MDS, IsoMap, LLE, Laplacian Eigenmap, …
 - \cdot Hard to scale up
- · Graph factorization (Ahmed et al. 2013)
 - \cdot Not specifically designed for network representation
 - Undirected graphs only
- · Neural word embeddings (Bengio et al. 2003)
 - Neural language model
 - word2vec (skipgram), paragraph vectors, etc.

Laplacian Eigenmap (Belkin and Niyogi, 2003)

- Intuition: the embeddings of similar nodes should be close to each other
- · Objective:

$$O = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} w_{ij} (\vec{u}_i - \vec{u}_j)^2 = tr(U^T L U)$$

- Where $U = [\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_N]$, L is the Laplacian matrix L = D W, and $D_{ii} = \sum w_{ij}$
- Optimization by finding the eigenvectors of smallest eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix L: $Lu = \lambda Du$

$$Lu = \lambda Du$$

 Computationally expensive for finding eigenvectors when networks are very big

Mikhail Belkin and Partha Niyogi. Laplacian Eigenmaps for Dimensionality Reduction and Data Representation. Neural Computation, 2003.

Word2VEC (Mikolov et al. 2014)

- Goal: represent each word *i* with a vector $\vec{v}_i \in R^d$ by training from a sequence (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T)
- Distributional hypothesis (John Rupert Firth): You know a word by the company it keeps
- Skip-gram: learning word representations by predicting the nearby words



Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, Jeffrey Dean. Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality. NIPS 2014

Skipgram

· Objective:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{-c \le j \le c, j \ne 0} \log p(w_{t+j}|w_t)$$

- \cdot Where *c* is the window size
- Direct optimization is computationally expensive due to the softmax function
- Negative sampling:

$$\log \sigma(v_{w_O}^{\prime \top} v_{w_I}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}_{w_i \sim P_n(w)} \left[\log \sigma(-v_{w_i}^{\prime \top} v_{w_I})\right]$$

• Where $P_n(w)$ is a noisy distribution

LINE: Large-scale Information Network Embedding (Tang et al., Most Cited Paper of WWW 2015)

- Arbitrary types of networks
 - · Directed, undirected, and/or weighted
- Clear objective function
 - Preserve the first-order and second-order proximity
- Scalable
 - · Asynchronous stochastic gradient descent
 - Millions of nodes and billions of edges: a coupe of hours on a single machine

Jian Tang, Meng Qu, Mingzhe Wang, Jun Yan, and Qiaozhu Mei. LINE: Large-scale Information Network Embedding. WWW'15

First-order Proximity



- The local pairwise proximity between the nodes
- However, many links between the nodes are not observed
 - Not sufficient for preserving the entire network structure

Second-order Proximity

"The degree of overlap of two people's friendship networks correlates with the strength of ties between them" -- Mark Granovetter



"You shall know a word by the company it keeps" -- John Rupert Firth

· Proximity between the neighborhood structures of the nodes

Preserving the First-order Proximity (LINE 1st)

• Distributions: : (defined on the undirected edge i - j)

Empirical distribution of first-order proximity:

Model distribution of first-order proximity:

$$\hat{p}_1(v_i, v_j) = \frac{W_{ij}}{\sum_{(m,n)\in E} W_{mn}}$$
$$p_1(v_i, v_j) = \frac{\exp(\vec{u}_i^T \vec{u}_j)}{\sum_{(m,n)\in V \times V} \exp(\vec{u}_m^T \vec{u}_n)}$$

 \vec{u}_i : Embedding of i

· Objective:

$$O_1 = KL(\hat{p}_1, p_1) = -\sum_{(i,j)\in E} w_{ij} \log p_1(v_i, v_j)$$

Preserving the Second-order Proximity (LINE 2nd)

Distributions: (defined on the directed edge i -> j)

Empirical distribution of neighborhood structure: $\hat{p}_{2}(v_{j} | v_{i}) = \frac{W_{ij}}{\sum_{k \in V} W_{ik}}$ Model distribution of neighborhood structure: $p_{2}(v_{j} | v_{i}) = \frac{\exp(\vec{u} |_{i}^{T} \vec{u}_{j})}{\sum_{k \in V} \exp(\vec{u} |_{k}^{T} \vec{u}_{i})}$

· Objective:

$$O_2 = \sum_i KL(\hat{p}_2(\cdot | v_i), p_2(\cdot | v_i)) = -\sum_{(i,j)\in E} w_{ij} \log p_2(v_j | v_i)$$

Optimization Tricks

Stochastic gradient descent + Negative Sampling

- $\cdot\,$ Randomly sample an edge and multiple negative edges
- · The gradient w.r.t the embedding with edge (i, j)

$$\frac{\partial O_2}{\partial \vec{u}_i} = w_{ij} \frac{\partial \log \hat{p}_2(v_j \mid v_i)}{\partial \vec{u}_i}$$

- · Problematic when the variances of weights of the edges are large
 - $\cdot\,$ The variance of the gradients are large
- Solution: edge sampling
 - \cdot Sample the edges according to their weights and treat the edges as binary
- Complexity: O(d*K*|E|)
 - · Linear to the dimensionality d, the number of negative samples K, and the number of edges

Discussion

- Embed nodes with few neighbors
 - · Expand the neighbors by adding higher-order neighbors
 - · Breadth-first search (BFS)
 - · Adding only second-order neighbors works well in most cases
- Embed new nodes
 - · Fix the embeddings of existing nodes
 - · Optimize the objective w.r.t. the embeddings of new nodes

DeepWalk (Perozzi et al. 2014)

- Learning node representations with the technique for learning word representations, i.e., Skipgram
- Treat random walks on networks as sentences



Bryan Perozzi, Rami Al-Rfou, Steven Skiena. DeepWalk: Online Learning of Social Representations. KDD'14

DeepWalk (Perozzi et al. 2014)

- · Optimization: hierarchical softmax (Morin, Bengio, 2005)
- · Assign the nodes to the leaves of a binary tree
- Predict the node => predict a path in the tree
 - · Make binary decisions along the path
- Complexity from |V| to log(|V|)



Node2Vec (Grover and Leskovec, 2016)



Figure 1: BFS and DFS search strategies from node u (k = 3).

- · Find the node context by a hybrid strategy of
 - Breadth-first Sampling (BFS): homophily
 - Depth-first Sampling (DFS): structural equivalence

Aditya Grover and Jure Leskovec. node2vec: Scalable Feature Learning for Networks. KDD'16

Expand Node Contexts with Biased Random Walk



- · Biased random walk with two parameters p and q
 - p: controls the probability of revisiting a node in the walk
 - q: controls the probability of exploring "outward" nodes
 - · Find optimal p and q through cross-validation on labeled data
- Optimized through similar objective as LINE with first-order proximity

Comparison between LINE, DeepWalk, and Node2Vec

Algorithm Neighbor Expansion		Proximity	Optimization	Labeled Data		
LINE	BFS	1 st or 2 nd	Negative Sampling	No		
DeepWalk	Random	2 nd	Hierarchical Softmax	No		
Node2Vec	BFS + DFS	1 st	Negative Sampling	Yes		

Applications

- Node classification (Perozzi et al. 2014, Tang et al. 2015a, Grover et al. 2015)
- Node visualization (Tang et al. 2015a)
- · Link prediction (Grover et al. 2015)
- · Recommendation (Zhao et al. 2016)
- · Text representation (Tang et al. 2015a, Tang et al. 2015b)

Node Classification

- social network => user representations (features) => classifier
- Community identities as classification labels

	% Labeled Nodes	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%
	,										
	DeepWalk	32.4	34.6	35.9	36.7	37.2	37.7	38.1	38.3	38.5	38.7
	SpectralClustering	27.43	30.11	31.63	32.69	33.31	33.95	34.46	34.81	35.14	35.41
Micro-F1(%)	EdgeCluster	25.75	28.53	29.14	30.31	30.85	31.53	31.75	31.76	32.19	32.84
	Modularity	22.75	25.29	27.3	27.6	28.05	29.33	29.43	28.89	29.17	29.2
wvRN		17.7	14.43	15.72	20.97	19.83	19.42	19.22	21.25	22.51	22.73
	Majority	16.34	16.31	16.34	16.46	16.65	16.44	16.38	16.62	16.67	16.71

Table: Results on Flickr Network (Perozzi et al. 2014)

DeepWalk > Laplacian Eigenmap
Node Classification

- social network => user representations (features) => classifier
- Community identities as classification labels

Metric	Algorithm	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%
	GF	25.43	26.16	26.60	26.91	27.32	27.61	27.88	28.13	28.30	28.51
		(24.97)	(26.48)	(27.25)	(27.87)	(28.31)	(28.68)	(29.01)	(29.21)	(29.36)	(29.63)
	DeepWalk	39.68	41.78	42.78	43.55	43.96	44.31	44.61	44.89	45.06	45.23
	DeepWalk(256dim)	39.94	42.17	43.19	44.05	44.47	44.84	45.17	45.43	45.65	45.81
Micro-F1	LINE(1st)	35.43	38.08	39.33	40.21	40.77	41.24	41.53	41.89	42.07	42.21
		(36.47)	(38.87)	(40.01)	(40.85)	(41.33)	(41.73)	(42.05)	(42.34)	(42.57)	(42.73)
	LINE(2nd) 32.98 (36.78)	32.98	36.70	38.93	40.26	41.08	41.79	42.28	42.70	43.04	43.34
		(36.78)	(40.37)	(42.10)	(43.25)	(43.90)	(44.44)	(44.83)	(45.18)	(45.50)	(45.67)
	LINE(1st + 2nd)	39.01*	41.89	43.14	44.04	44.62	45.06	45.34	45.69**	45.91**	46.08**
	LINE(1st+2nd)	(40.20)	(42.70)	(43.94^{**})	(44.71^{**})	(45.19^{**})	(45.55^{**})	(45.87^{**})	(46.15^{**})	(46.33^{**})	(46.43^{**})

Table: Results on Youtube Network(Tang et al. 2015a)

LINE(1st + 2nd) >LINE(2nd) > DeepWalk > LINE(1st)

Node Visualization (Tang et al. 2015a)

Coauthor network: authors from three different research fields



Link Prediction (Grover and Leskovec, 2016)

Op	Algorithm	Dataset				
		Facebook	PPI	arXiv		
	Common Neighbors	0.8100	0.7142	0.8153		
	Jaccard's Coefficient	0.8880	0.7018	0.8067		
	Adamic-Adar	0.8289	0.7126	0.8315		
	Pref. Attachment	0.7137	0.6670	0.6996		
	Spectral Clustering	0.5960	0.6588	0.5812		
(a)	DeepWalk	0.7238	0.6923	0.7066		
	LINE	0.7029	0.6330	0.6516		
	node2vec	0.7266	0.7543	0.7221		
	Spectral Clustering	0.6192	0.4920	0.5740		
(b)	DeepWalk	0.9680	0.7441	0.9340		
	LINE	0.9490	0.7249	0.8902		
	node2vec	0.9680	0.7719	0.9366		

Table: Results of Link Prediction

Node Embeddings (LINE, DeepWalk, node2vec) > Jaccard's Coefficient > Adamic-Adar

Unsupervised Text Representation (Tang et al. 2015a)

Construct text networks from unstructured text



Word Analogy

- Entire Wikipedia articles => word co-occurrence network (~2M words, 1B edges)
- Size of word co-occurrence networks does not grow linearly with data size
 - Only the weights of edges change

Algorithm	Semantic(%)	Syntactic(%)	Overall		
GF	61.38	44.08	51.93		
SkipGram	69.14	57.94	63.02		
LINE(1 st)	58.08	49.42	53.35		
LINE(2 nd)	73.79	59.72	66.10		

LINE(2nd) > LINE(1st) LINE(2nd) > SkipGram

Text Classification (on Long Documents)

- Word co-occurrence network (w-w), word-document network (w-d) to learn the word embedding
- Document embedding as average of word embeddings in the document



Text Classification (on Short Documents)

- Word co-occurrence network (w-w), word-document network (w-d) to learn the word embedding
- Document embedding as average of word embeddings in the document



Extensions

- Other variants
- Multi-view networks
- Networks with node attributes
- Heterogeneous networks
- · Task-specific network embedding

Extensions

- Other variants
- Multi-view networks
- Networks with node attributes
- Heterogeneous networks
- · Task-specific network embedding

Other Variants

- Leverage global structural information (Cao et al. 2015)
- Non-linear methods based on autoencoders (Wang et al. 2016)
- · Directed network embedding (Ou et al. 2016)
- · Signed network embedding (Wang et al. 2017)

- Shaosheng Cao, Wei Lu, and Qiongkai Xu. GraRep: Learning graph representations with global structural information. CIKM' 2015.
- Mingdong Ou, Peng Cui, Jian Pei, Wenwu Zhu. Asymmetric transitivity preserving graph embedding. KDD, 2016.
- Daixing Wang, Peng Cui, Wenwu Zhu. Structural deep network embedding. KDD, 2016.
- Suhang Wang, Jiliang Tang, Charu Aggarwal, Yi Chang, Huan Liu. Signed network embedding in social media. SDM 2017.

Extensions

- Other variants
- Multi-view networks
- Networks with node attributes
- Heterogeneous networks
- · Task-specific network embedding

Multi-view Network Embedding (Qu and Tang et al. 2017)

- Multiple types of relationships between nodes exist in real-world networks
- · E.g., following, retweeting relationships between users in Twitter
- Each type of relationship => a view of the network
- Multiple types of relationships => multi-view networks
- Infer robust node representations with multiple views
 - Complementary information in different views



Figure: Networks with multiple views

Meng Qu, Jian Tang, Jingbo Shang, Xiang Ren, Ming Zhang, and Jiawei Han. Learning Distributed Node Representations for Networks with Multiple Views. To appear in CIKM 2017.

A Co-Regularization Approach

- Each node has a robust representation and multiple view-specific representations
- Preserve the structure of different views through view-specific representations
- Promote the collaboration of different views to vote for robust representations
 - · Regularize the view-specific representations



A Co-Regularization Approach

Objective





 \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k} :view-specific node embedding of node i λ_{i}^{k} :weights of views of node i

Learning the Weights of the Views via Neural Attention

• According to the regularization term:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{|V|} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_i^k ||\mathbf{x}_i^k - \mathbf{x}_i||_2^2, \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{x}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_i^k \mathbf{x}_i^k.$$

• Learning the weights with supervised data, e.g., node classification

$$O_{attn} = \sum_{v_i \in S} L(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i),$$

• Define the attention weight of views for each node:

$$\lambda_i^k = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{z}_k^T \mathbf{x}_i^C)}{\sum_{k'=1}^K \exp(\mathbf{z}_{k'}^T \mathbf{x}_i^C)},$$

 \mathbf{x}_{i}^{C} : concatenation of view-specific embeddings of node i \mathbf{z}_{k}^{T} : embedding of view k

Results of Multi-label Node Classification

Catagory	Algorithm	DBLP		Flickr		PPI	
Category	Algorithm	Macro-F1	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Micro-F1
Single View	LINE	70.29	70.77	34.49	54.99	20.69	24.70
Single view	node2vec	71.52	72.22	34.43	54.82	21.20	25.04
	node2vec-merge	72.05	72.62	29.15	52.08	21.00	24.60
	node2vec-concat	70.98	71.34	32.21	53.67	21.12	25.28
	CMSC	-	-	-	-	8.97	13.10
Multi View	MultiNMF	51.26	59.97	18.16	51.18	5.19	9.84
Multi view	MultiSPPMI	54.34	55.65	32.56	53.80	20.21	23.34
	MVE-NoCollab	71.85	72.40	28.03	54.62	18.23	22.40
	MVE-NoAttn	73.36	73.77	32.41	54.18	22.24	25.41
	MVE	74.51	74.85	34.74	58.95	23.39	26.96



Extensions

- Other variants
- Multi-view networks
- Networks with node attributes
- Heterogeneous networks
- · Task-specific network embedding

Networks with Node Attributes (Yang et al. 2015, N.Kipf et al. 2016, Liao et al. 2017)

- Networks with text information (Yang et al. 2015)
- Networks with attributes (Liao et al. 2017)
 - · Gender, location, text, …
- Variational graph autoencoders (N.Kipf et al. 2016)
 - Encode the node with neighborhood structures and attributes
 - Decode the neighborhood structures

- Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, Deli Zhao, Maosong Sun, Edward Y. Chang. Network representation learning with rich text information. IJCAI 2015.
- Thomas N.Kipf and Max Welling. Variational Graph Auto-encoders. NIPS Workshop 2016.
- Lizi Liao, Xiangnan He, Hanwang Zhang, and Tat-Seng Chua. Attributed Social Network Embedding. arXiv, 2017.

Extensions

- Other variants
- Multi-view networks
- Networks with node attributes
- Heterogeneous networks
- · Task-specific network embedding

Heterogeneous Network Embedding via Deep Architectures (Chang et al. 2015)

- Heterogeneous networks of images and text
- Make the embeddings of linked objects close to each other
 - · image-image, image-text, text-text



Siyu Chang, Wei Han, Jiliang Tang, Guo-Jun Qi, Charu C. Aggarwal, Thomas S. Huang. Heterogeneous network embedding via Deep Architectures. KDD'15

Heterogeneous Star Network Embedding (Chen et al. 2017)



Ting Chen and Yizhou Sun, "Task-Guided and Path-Augmented Heterogeneous Network Embedding for Author Identification. WSDM'17.

Extensions

- Other variants
- Multi-view networks
- Networks with node attributes
- Heterogeneous networks
- Task-specific network embedding

Semi-supervised Text Representation (Tang et al. 2015b)

- Heterogeneous text network
 - Word-word, word-document, and word-label networks
 - Different levels of word co-occurrences: local context-level, documentlevel, label-level
- Learning word embeddings through jointly training the heterogeneous networks
- Document embeddings as the average of word embeddings



Jian Tang, Meng Qu, and Qiaozhu Mei. PTE: Predictive Text Embedding through Large-scale Heterogeneous Text Networks. KDD'15.

Results on Text Classification of Long Documents

		20newsgroup		Wikipedia		IMDB	
Туре	Algorithm	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Micro-F1	Macro-F1
Unsupervised	LINE(G_wd)	79.73	78.40	80.14	80.13	89.14	89.14
	CNN	80.15	79.43	79.25	79.32	89.00	89.00
	PTE(G_wI)	82.70	81.97	79.00	79.02	85.98	85.98
Predictive	PTE(G_ww+G_wI)	83.90	83.11	81.65	81.62	89.14	89.14
embedding	PTE(G_wd+G_wl)	84.39	83.64	82.29	82.27	89.76	89.76
	PTE(joint)	84.20	83.39	82.51	82.49	89.80	89.80

PTE > CNN

Results on Text Classification of Short Documents

		20newsgroup		Wikipedia		IMDB	
Туре	Algorithm	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Micro-F1	Macro-F1
Unsupervised	LINE(G_ww)	74.22	70.12	71.13	71.12	73.84	73.84
	CNN	76.16	73.08	72.71	72.69	75.97	75.96
	PTE(G_wI)	76.45	72.74	73.44	73.42	73.92	73.91
Predictive	PTE(G_ww+G_wI)	76.80	73.28	72.93	72.92	74.93	74.92
embedding	PTE(G_wd+G_wl)	77.46	74.03	73.13	73.11	75.61	75.61
	PTE(joint)	77.15	73.61	73.58	73.57	75.21	75.21

PTE ≈ CNN

Semi-supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks (Kipf et al. 2017)

- Task: Given a graph G = (V, E), and the features of nodes $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$, and the labels of a subset of nodes are given.
- Learning the node representations through graph convolutional networks
 - Combining node representations (self-link) and representations of neighbors



Thomas N.Kipf and Max Welling. Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR'17.

Multi-layer Graph Convolution Neural Networks



Final objective:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{l \in \mathcal{Y}_L} \sum_{f=1}^F Y_{lf} \ln Z_{lf}$$

• Starting from the node features
$$H^{(0)} = X$$

• Define the propagation rule

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A} &= A + I_N & \text{Add the self-links} \\ \hat{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{A} \tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} & \text{Normalize the matrix} \\ \mathbf{H}^{(l+1)} &= \sigma \left(\hat{A} \mathbf{H}^{(l)} \mathbf{W}^{(l)} \right) & \text{Nonlinear propagation} \end{split}$$

Experimental Results (Kipf & ICLR 2017)

Method	Citeseer	Cora	Pubmed	NELL
ManiReg [3]	60.1	59.5	70.7	21.8
SemiEmb [28]	59.6	59.0	71.1	26.7
LP [32]	45.3	68.0	63.0	26.5
DeepWalk [22]	43.2	67.2	65.3	58.1
ICA [18]	69.1	75.1	73.9	23.1
Planetoid* [29]	64.7 (26s)	75.7 (13s)	77.2 (25s)	61.9 (185s)
GCN (this paper)	70.3 (7s)	81.5 (4s)	79.0 (38s)	66.0 (48s)
GCN (rand. splits)	67.9 ± 0.5	80.1 ± 0.5	78.9 ± 0.7	58.4 ± 1.7

GCN > Label Propagation

Outline

- · Part I: Learning Node Representations of Networks
 - · Related Work: Laplacian Eigenmap, Word2Vec
 - LINE, DeepWalk, and Node2Vec
 - Extensions
- · Part II: Visualizing Networks and High-Dimensional Data
 - t-SNE

٠

•

- · LargeVis
- Pat III: Learning Representations of Entire Networks
 - Graph kernels
 - · End-to-end methods
- Part IV: Summary, Challenges & Future Work

Extremely Low-dimensional Representations: 2D/3D for Visualizing Networks



Network Diagrams

Scatter Plots

Heatmaps

t-SNE (Maarten and Hinton, 2008, 2014)

- · State-of-the-art algorithms for high-dimensional data visualization
- Deployed in Tensorbord for visualizing the representations learned by deep neural networks.



Visualizations of MNIST Data

TensorBoard Visualizations by t-SNE

L.J.P. van der Maaten and G.E. Hinton. Visualizing High-Dimensional Data Using t-SNE. JMLR, 2008. L.J.P. van der Maaten. Accelerating t-SNE using Tree-Based Algorithms. JMLR, 2014.

Constructing the K-nearest Neighbor Graph

- Finding the nearest neighbors for all the data points
 - · Vantage-point tree
- Calculating the weights of the edges between the data points

$$p_{j|i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\exp(-d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)^2 / 2\sigma_i^2)}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i} \exp(-d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_k)^2 / 2\sigma_i^2)}, & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{N}_i \quad \mathcal{N}_i : \text{nearest neighbors} \\ & \text{of node i} \end{cases}$$

$$p_{ij} = \frac{p_{j|i} + p_{i|j}}{2N}.$$

K-nearest Neighbor Graph Visualization

•

Similarity between two data points *i* and *j* in low-dimensional space is defined as:

$$q_{ij} = \frac{(1 + \|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j\|^2)^{-1}}{\sum_{k \neq l} (1 + \|\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{y}_l\|^2)^{-1}}, \qquad \mathbf{y}_i : \text{low-dimensional representations}$$

$$(\text{coordinates}) \text{ of node i}$$

$$q_{ii} = 0.$$

Objective: minimize the similarities defined in the high-dimensional spaces and low-dimensional spaces

$$C(\mathcal{E}) = KL(P||Q) = \sum_{i \neq j} p_{ij} \log \frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}.$$

The complexity: O(NLogN) (Maarten, 2014).

Limitations of t-SNE

- \cdot K-NNG construction: complexity grows O(NlogN) to the number of data poitns N
- Graph layout: complexity is O(NlogN)
- \cdot Very sensitive parameters

LargeVis (Tang et al., Best Paper Nomination at WWW 2016)

- Efficient approximation of K-NNG construction
 - 30 times faster than t-SNE (3 million data points)
 - · Better time-accuracy tradeoff
- Efficient probabilistic model for graph layout
 - $\cdot O(NlogN) \rightarrow O(N)$
 - 7 times faster than t-SNE (3 million data points)
 - Better visualization layouts
 - Stable parameters across different data sets

Jian Tang, Jingzhou Liu, Ming Zhang, and Qiaozhu Mei. Visualizing Large-scale and High-dimensional Data. WWW'16

Random Projection Trees

 Partition the whole space into different regions with multiple hyperplanes
























K-NNG Construction

- · Search nearest neighbors through traversing trees
 - \cdot Only data points in the leaf are considered as nearest neighbors
- Multiple trees are usually used to improve the accuracy
 - \cdot e.g., hundreds



Reduce the Number of Trees

- · Construct a less accurate K-NNG with a few trees
- · Iteratively refine the K-NNG through "neighbor exploring"
 - · "A neighbor of my neighbor is also likely to be my neighbor"
 - Second-order neighbors are also treated as candidates of first-order neighbors

It Works!

- · X axis: accuracy of K-NNG
- · Y axis: running time (minutes)
- tSNE: 16 hours (95% accuracy)
- · LargeVis: 25 minutes
 - \cdot >30 times faster than t-SNE



Learning the Layout of KNN Graph

- \cdot Preserve the similarities of the nodes in 2D/3D space
 - \cdot Represent each node with a 2D/3D vector
 - · Keep similar data close while dissimilar data far apart
- · Probability of observing a binary edge between nodes (i,j):

$$p(e_{ij} = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + \|\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j\|^2}$$

· Likelihood of observing a weighted edge between nodes (i,j):

$$p(e_{ij} = w_{ij}) = p(e_{ij} = 1)^{w_{ij}}$$

A Probabilistic Model for Graph Layout

· Objective:

$$O = \prod_{(i,j)\in E} p(e_{ij} = w_{ij}) \prod_{(i,j)\in \overline{E}} (1 - p(e_{ij} = w_{ij}))^{\gamma}$$

y: an unified weight assigned to negative edge

- Randomly sample some negative edges
- Optimized through asynchronous stochastic gradient descent
- Time complexity: linear to the number of data points

It Works Too!

- · Time complexity
 - t-SNE: O(NlogN)
 - LargeVis: O(N)
- · On 3 million data points
 - t-SNE: 45 hours
 - LargeVis: 5.6 hours
 - Seven times faster



Visualization Quality

- Metric: *classification accuracy* with KNN on 2D space
- · Configuration:
 - LargeVis with default parameters
 - t-SNE with default and optimal parameters (tuned per data set)
- · LargeVis \approx t-SNE with optimal parameters
- LargeVis >> t-SNE with default parameters
- Parameters of LargeVis are very stable



83



10M Scientific Papers on One Slide



Computer Science



Mathematics





Biology



Computer Science vs. Mathematics



Computer Science vs. Physics



Wikipedia Articles (color: semantic cluster)

90

LiveJournal Network (color: community)

91

Computer Science Authors (color: community)

Summary

- LargeVis: a new technique for visualizing networks and high-dimensional data
- A better tool than t-SNE.
 - \cdot >7 times faster than t-SNE on three million data points

Impact

Our release:

LINE: (C++) https://github.com/tangjianpku/LINE (271 stars, released since 2015.3)

LargeVis : (C++&Python)

. . .

https://github.com/lferry007/LargeVis (289 stars, released since 2016.7)

Other tools based on our implementation:

R version in CRAN:https://github.com/elbamos/largeVisLargeVis Tutorial:https://jlorince.github.io/viz-tutorial/Interactive Visualization:https://github.com/NLeSC/DiVE

Outline

- · Part I: Learning Node Representations of Networks
 - · Laplacian Eigenmap
 - Word2Vec
 - LINE, DeepWalk, and Node2Vec
- · Part II: Visualizing Networks and High-Dimensional Data
 - t-SNE

•

- · LargeVis
- · Pat III: Learning Representations of Entire Networks
 - Graph kernels
 - · End-to-end methods
 - Part IV: Summary, Challenges & Future Work

Beyond node representations

- Node representations are good for
 - Node classification
 - · Recommendation
 - · Link prediction
 - •••

٠

- How about …
 - Information cascades
 - · Community detection
 - · Protein function prediction
- We want to learn graph representations



Road map

•

- Non end-to-end method
 - · Graph kernels
 - Manually designed kernel matrix
 - Kernel matrix is later used for down-stream tasks
- End-to-end methods
 - Matrix-based
 - Sequence-based
 - Graphical model based

Road map

- Non end-to-end method
 - Graph kernels
 - Manually designed kernel matrix
 - Kernel matrix is later used for down-stream tasks
- End-to-end methods
 - Matrix-based
 - Sequence-based
 - · Graphical model based

Kernels

- · Quantify similarity of two objects
 - $\cdot \quad \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{X},\,\mathsf{X}')\,=\,(\Phi(\mathsf{X}),\Phi(\mathsf{X}'))$
 - $\cdot \quad \Phi(\cdot)$ maps objects to embedding space

Graph kernels

- Intuition
 - · Design graph substructures
 - · Compare them to find similarity K(G, G')
 - · Embedding of a graph is its similarity to all other graphs



- Many graph kernels
 - Shortest Path Kernel [Borgwardt+ '05]
 - Graphlet Kernel [Shervashidze+ '09]
 - Weisfeiler-Lehman Kernel [Shervashidze+ '11]

• •••

Graphlet kernel

Count #graphlets



Graphlets of size 4

- $v_G = (\#F_1, \#F_2, \dots, \#F_{11})$ defines feature vector
 - \cdot #F_i is the number of graphlet F_i in G
- Isomorphic graphs have identical graphlet distribution.
- Graphlet kernel K(G, G') = $v_G^T v_{G'}$

Example of graphlet kernel



Road map

•

- Non end-to-end method
 - · Graph kernels
 - Manually designed kernel matrix
 - Kernel matrix is later used for down-stream tasks
- End-to-end methods
 - Matrix-based
 - Sequence-based
 - · Graphical model based

Matrix-based methods

- Represent graphs as matrices
 - · Similar to images
 - · Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) can be applied
- · A simple way -- affinity matrix
 - Sensitive to node order permutations
 - · Isomorphic graphs can be mapped to different matrices
 - Problem: how to find a good intermediate matrix?

PATCHY-SAN [Niepert+ '16]



Neighborhood normalization (exactly k=4 nodes)

PATCHY-SAN [Niepert+ '16]

Normalized neighborhood



Apply CNNs

DeepGraph [Li+ '17a]

- Heat Kernel Signature (HKS)
 - Proposed in computer vision [Sun+, '09]
 - Represent the surface of 3D objects
 - Model the amount of heat flow on nodes overtime
 - · Simulated on the snapshot of a graph



Heat kernel

- There is a unit amount of heat on each node
- Heat starts to flow at time t = 0
- · $h_t(i,j)$ is the amount of heat flow
 - \cdot Among node i and j after time t
 - \cdot Through all edges between i and j
- Calculate h_t(i,j)
 - f(t, i, j, eigenvalue, eigenvector of g(adjacency matrix))
HKS Graph Descriptor

- · Heat kernel signature (HKS) H
 - $\cdot H_{i,t} = h_t(i,i)$
 - · i-th node, t-th sampled time point
- HKS Graph descriptor S
 - Independent of #nodes
 - · Compute histograms for each column $H_{.,t}$
 - · $S_{k,t}$ -- #nodes in k-th bin at time t
 - Row -- heat density dynamics over diffusion steps
 - Column -- static heat density patterns at t

Visualizing the graph descriptor

Convolutional architecture can be applied



Friendship network from Facebook Author's Collaboration network from ACL

Pipeline

Low-level representation of network

HKS Graph descriptor



Graph structure

Multi-column, multi-resolution convolutional neural network



Prediction of the network growth

High-level

of network

representation

Road map

•

- Non end-to-end method
 - · Graph kernels
 - Manually designed kernel matrix
 - Kernel matrix is later used for down-stream tasks
- End-to-end methods
 - Matrix-based
 - Sequence-based
 - · Graphical model based

Current node embedding methods

- DeepWalk [Perozzi+ '14] and node2vec [Grover+ '16]
 - Sample random walk sequences
 - Sequence \Leftrightarrow sentence
 - Node ⇔ word

•

- Word2vec can be used [Mikolov+ '13]
- DeepWalk, LINE [Tang+ '15] and node2vec
 - · Obtain graph embedding
 - · Average node embeddings
 - · Lead to significant loss of information

DeepCas [Li+ '17b]

- Inspired by DeepWalk [Perozzi+ '14]
 - Make an analogy
 - Node ⇔ word
 - Sampled random path ⇔ sentence

How to assemble by end-to-end learning?

We can adapt deep

learning methods

developed for text

- · Graph \Leftrightarrow document
- · A set of graphs \Leftrightarrow document collection

Pipeline of DeepCas



From sequence to graph representation

- Random walk has a terminating probability
 - Decides the expected #sequences
 - · Learn it by examining
 - · Represent the graph well \rightarrow good prediction
 - \cdot Intuition
 - We sample enough sequences
 - Partition the sequences into "mini-batches"
 - · Read in more until enough \rightarrow stop random walk
 - Implement the intuition
 - · A geometric distribution of attentions over mini-batches

Assemble sequences to a document (graph)



Road map

•

- Non end-to-end method
 - · Graph kernels
 - Manually designed kernel matrix
 - Kernel matrix is later used for down-stream tasks
- End-to-end methods
 - Matrix-based
 - Sequence-based
 - Graphical model based

Structure2vec [Dai+ '16]

Construct graphical models for graphs

•



· A Markov random field $p(\{H_i\}, \{X_i\}) \propto \prod \Phi(H_i, X_i) \prod \Psi(H_i, H_j)$

 $i \in V$

 $(i,j) \in E$

- · Φ : node potentials
- Ψ : edge potentials

Embedding latent variable models

- Standard maximum likelihood estimation is difficult
- . Embed the posterior marginal $p(H_i | \{x_i\})$ to u_i
 - $\cdot \quad u_i = \int_{H} \phi(h_i) p(h_i | \{x_i\}) dh_i$
 - $\cdot \ \varphi(h_i)$ is a feature map to be learned
 - $\cdot \ u_i$ is an embedding vector for node i

Embedding latent variable models

- Standard maximum likelihood estimation is difficult
- · Embed the posterior marginal $p(H_i | \{x_i\})$ to u_i
- $\cdot \, \, u_i$ can be computed by approximate inference
 - · Parameterize it as a neural network
 - $\cdot \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{i} = \sigma \left(\mathcal{W}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathcal{W}_{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j \in N(i)} \, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{j} + \mathcal{W}_{3} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j \in N(i)} \mathbf{x}_{j} \right)$
 - . $\{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$ are parameters
 - N(i) are neighbors of i
 - \cdot σ is an activation function

Discriminative training

- . We have embedding vectors $\{u_i\}$
 - $\cdot \quad \tilde{u}_{i} = \sigma \left(\mathcal{W}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathcal{W}_{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j \in N(i)} \, \tilde{u}_{j} + \mathcal{W}_{3} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j \in N(i)} \mathbf{x}_{j} \right)$
- $\cdot~$ Represent a graph by $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i~\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i$
- Minimize the empirical square loss
 - · $(y \theta^{T} \sigma (\Sigma_{i} \tilde{u}_{i}))^{2}$
 - \cdot y is the graph label
 - \cdot θ is a parameter

Conclusion

Learning Representations of Entire Networks

- End-to-end methods usually work better
 - When there are particular tasks at hand
- No general consensus on which methods consistently work better

Outline

- · Part I: Learning Node Representations of Networks
 - · Laplacian Eigenmap
 - Word2Vec
 - · LINE, DeepWalk, and Node2Vec
- · Part II: Visualizing Networks and High-Dimensional Data
 - t-SNE

٠

- · LargeVis
- Pat III: Learning Representations of Entire Networks
 - · Graph kernels
 - · End-to-end methods
- · Part IV: Summary, Challenges & Future Work

Summary

- Network representation is a new methodology for analyzing and mining networks
- State-of-the-art approaches for node representation learning
 - LINE, DeepWalk, and Node2Vec
 - Moving towards to task-specific node representations (e.g., PTE and GraphConv)
- Visualizing large-scale networks and high-dimensional data
 - · LargeVis
 - · Sales up to tens of millions of nodes or data points
- · Learning representations of network substructures
 - · DeepCas, Stru2Vec

Challenges & Future Work

· Scalability

- · How to scale up to networks with billions of nodes
- Hierarchical representations
 - · How to learn hierarchical representations of networks
- · Dynamic
- Heterogeneous networks
 - Multiple types of nodes, multiple types of edges
- Learning isomorphism-invariance representations of entire networks

. ...



Node Embeddings

[Belkin et al. 2003] Mikhail Belkin and Partha Niyogi. Laplacian Eigenmaps for Dimensionality Reduction and Data Representation. Neural Computation, 2003.

[Mikolov et al. 2014] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, Jeffrey Dean. Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality. NIPS 2014.

[Tang et al. 2015a] Jian Tang, Meng Qu, Mingzhe Wang, Jun Yan, and Qiaozhu Mei. LINE: Large-scale Information Network Embedding. WWW'15

[Perozzi et al. 2014] Bryan Perozzi, Rami Al-Rfou, Steven Skiena. DeepWalk: Online Learning of Social Representations. KDD'14

[Grover et al. 2016] Aditya Grover and Jure Leskovec. node2vec: Scalable Feature Learning for Networks. KDD'16

[Cao et al. 2015] Shaosheng Cao, Wei Lu, and Qiongkai Xu. GraRep: learning graph representations with global structural information. CIKM'15.

[Qu et al. 2017] Meng Qu, Jian Tang, Jingbo Shang, Xiang Ren, Ming Zhang, and Jiawei Han. Learning Distributed Node Representations for Networks with Multiple Views.

[Yang et al. 2015] Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, Deli Zhao, Maosong Sun, Edward Y. Chang. Network representation learning with rich text information. IJCAI 2015.

[Kipf et al. 2016] Thomas N.Kipf and Max Welling. Variational Graph Auto-encoders. NIPS Workshop 2016.

[Liao et al. 2017]Lizi Liao, Xiangnan He, Hanwang Zhang, and Tat-Seng Chua. Attributed Social Network Embedding. arXiv, 2017.

[Tang et al. 2015b] Jian Tang, Meng Qu, and Qiaozhu Mei. PTE: Predictive Text Embedding through Large-scale Heterogeneous Text Networks. KDD'15.

[Kipf et al. 2017] Thomas N.Kipf and Max Welling. Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR'17.

[Chang et al. 2017] Siyu Chang, Wei Han, Jiliang Tang, Guo-Jun Qi, Charu C. Aggarwal, Thomas S. Huang. Heterogeneous network embedding via Deep Architectures. KDD'15

[Chen et al. 2017] Ting Chen and Yizhou Sun, "Task-Guided and Path-Augmented Heterogeneous Network Embedding for Author Identification. WSDM'17.

References

[Wang et al. 2017] Daixin Wang, Peng Cui, Wenwu Zhu. Structural deep network embedding. KDD, 2016.

Node Visualizations

[Maaten et al. 2008] L.J.P. van der Maaten and G.E. Hinton. Visualizing High-Dimensional Data Using t-SNE. JMLR, 2008.

[Maaten et al. 2014] L.J.P. van der Maaten. Accelerating t-SNE using Tree-Based Algorithms. JMLR, 2014.

[Tang et al. 2016] Jian Tang, Jingzhou Liu, Ming Zhang, and Qiaozhu Mei. Visualizing Large-scale and High-dimensional Data. WWW'16

Graph Embeddings

[Li+ '17a] Cheng Li, Xiaoxiao Guo, and Qiaozhu Mei. 2016. DeepGraph: Graph Structure Predicts Network Growth. arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.06251 (2016).

[Niepert+ '16] Mathias Niepert, Mohamed Ahmed, and Konstantin Kutzkov. 2016. Learning convolutional neural networks for graphs. In Proceedings of the 33rd annual international conference on machine learning. ACM.

[Borgwardt+ '05] Borgwardt, Karsten M., and Hans-Peter Kriegel. "Shortest-path kernels on graphs." Data Mining, Fifth IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2005.

[Shervashidze+ '09] Shervashidze, Nino, et al. "Efficient graphlet kernels for large graph comparison." Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. 2009.

[Shervashidze+ '11] Shervashidze, Nino, et al. "Weisfeiler-lehman graph kernels." Journal of Machine Learning Research 12.Sep (2011): 2539-2561.

[Li+ '17b] Cheng Li, Jiaqi Ma, Xiaoxiao Guo, and Qiaozhu Mei. 2017. DeepCas: an End-to-end Predictor of Information Cascades. In Proceedings of the 26th international conference on World wide web.

[Dai+ '16] Dai, Hanjun, Bo Dai, and Le Song. "Discriminative embeddings of latent variable models for structured data." International Conference on Machine Learning. 2016.



tangjianpku@gmail.com

Optimization

· The gradient w.r.t. the embedding \mathbf{y}_i

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \mathbf{y}_i} = 4 \sum_{j \neq i} (p_{ij} - q_{ij}) q_{ij} Z(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j),$$

· Z is the partition function:

$$Z = \sum_{k \neq l} (1 + \|\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{y}_l\|^2)^{-1}$$

- The complexity w.r.t. the number of data points N is $O(N^2)$
- Too expensive!

Barnes-Hut Approximation

Rewriting the gradient as:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \mathbf{y}_i} = 4 \left(\sum_{j \neq i} p_{ij} q_{ij} Z(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j) - \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}^2 Z(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j) \right),$$

Attractive forces Complexity: linear to the number of edges

Repulsive forces Complexity: O(N^2)

Constructing a quadtree of the nodes according to the current low-dimensional representations



From O(N²) to O(NLogN)!

A • B • D • C E • 3x • F • G • H • H Sum of node i and nodes in a cell: $-q_{ij}^2 Z(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j)$

$$-N_{cell}q_{i,cell}^2 Z(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_{cell})$$